

III. REMARKS

1. Claims 1-4, 6, and 9-33 remain in the application. Claims 5, 7, and 8 were previously cancelled without prejudice.
2. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-3, 6, 9-18, and 20-33 are patentable over the combination of Harris et al. (US 6,314,306, "Harris"), Bright et al. (US 6,418,323, "Bright") and Narinen et al. (US 2002/0115456, "Narinen") under 35 USC 103(a).

The combination of Harris, Bright and Narinen fails to disclose or suggest:

wherein the first effect for stimulating an auditory or visual sense and the second effect stimulating a visual or tactile sense is activated so as to be presented as a consequence of a certain user action in the second mobile terminal,

as substantially recited by claims 1, 13, and 31-33.

The Examiner properly points out that neither Harris nor Bright discloses these features of the present claims. Applicants respectfully submit that Narinen also fails to disclose or suggest these features at least because said first effect and said second effect are not taking place as a consequence of a certain singular user action. Instead Narinen discloses a solution wherein every effect is prompted by a separate command as illustrated by Narinen's Fig 1. Paragraph [0041] of Narinen highlights that these actions are really presented as a consequence of plural user actions which are independent from each other. Paragraph [0041] states:

At block 135, 140, or 145, for ring tone data, caller group icon data, or operator logo data, respectively, the user is prompted to take some action regarding the received data, such as storing it, playing it etc..."

The present claims provide clear advantages due to the fact that the user can easily recognize that the first effect and the second effect are related which is important concerning on certain kind of communication.

In addition to the fact that none of the references discloses or suggests these features of the independent claims, Applicants reiterate that one skilled in the art would face not only the basic question of how to make a real-time communication more versatile and accordingly, to flexibly

personalize the relating terminal device, but also how to make it at the right moment for enriching the user's experiences.

At least for these reasons, the combination of Harris, Bright and Narinen fails to render independent claims 1, 13, and 31-33 and dependent claims 2, 3, 6, 9-12, 14-18, and 20-30 unpatentable.

3. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 4 and 19 are patentable over the combination of Harris, Bright, Narinen and the admitted prior art under 35 USC 103(a).

Claims 4 and 19 depend from claims 1 and 13, respectively. The admitted prior art fails to disclose or suggest the features of claims 1 and 13 missing from the combination of Harris, Bright, Narinen, and the admitted prior art, that is:

wherein the first effect for stimulating an auditory or visual sense and the second effect stimulating a visual or tactile sense is activated so as to be presented as a consequence of a certain user action in the second mobile terminal.

Therefore, the combination of Harris, Bright, Narinen and the admitted prior art fails to render claims 4 and 19 unpatentable.

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now present in the application are clearly novel and patentable over the prior art of record, and are in proper form for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested. Should any unresolved issues remain, the Examiner is invited to call Applicants' attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment for any fees associated with this communication or credit any over payment to Deposit Account No. 16-1350.

Respectfully submitted,



Joseph V. Gamberdell, Jr.
Reg. No. 44,695

18 October 2009
Date

Perman & Green, LLP
99 Hawley Lane
Stratford, CT 06614
(203) 259-1800
Customer No.: 2512